



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,067	08/05/2005	Felix Blank	510.1117	7491
23280 7590 06/24/2009 Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 7th Avenue 14th Floor New York, NY 10018				
EXAMINER				
RADEMAKER, CLAIRE L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/24/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/523,067

Applicant(s)

BLANK ET AL.

Examiner

CLAIRE L. RADEMAKER

Art Unit

1795

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/19/05, 5/30/08, 4/6/09.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-37 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-25 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/19/05, 5/30/08, 4/6/09
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 17-25, in the reply filed on April 6, 2009 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 17-25 are pending and are rejected for reasons of record. Claims 1-16 are cancelled. Claims 26-37 are withdrawn due to restriction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 17-20 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. (US 2002/0012827).

With regard to claims 17-20 & 24, Mizuno et al. discloses a fuel cell (paragraph [0042]; Figures 1 & 3) comprising:

A membrane electrode assembly (MEA) (31, 32, & 3, paragraphs [0043] & [0046]; Figure 1);

A bipolar plate / separator (30, paragraphs [0042] & [0055]; Figures 1 & 4B) having an anode-side gas distributor structure for distributing anode reactants (paragraphs [0046]-[0047]; Figures 1 & 4B), a cathode-side gas distributor structure or distributing cathode reactants (paragraphs [0046]-[0047]; Figures 1 & 4B), and a guide passage structure (paragraph [0054]) for distributing a cooling medium (paragraph [0054]), wherein the anode-side gas distributor structure and the cathode-side gas distributor are each divided into at least a first field (90 / 92, paragraphs [0045]-[0046] & [0055]; Figure 1) and a second field (91 / 93, paragraphs [0045]-[0046] & [0055]; Figure 1), where the first field has an entry port (40 / 50, paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1) and an exit port (41 / 51, paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1) and the second field has an entry port (41 / 51, paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1) and an exit port (42 / 52, paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1) for the reactants, wherein the exit port of the first field is connected to an entry port of the second field (paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1);

A feed line disposed between the exit port of the first field and the entry port of the second field (paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1) and configured to introduce further reactants (paragraphs [045] & [0047]; Figure 1); and

At least one reactant adjustment device / serpentine grooves configured to adjust a flow rate of the reactants for the first and second fields separately (paragraph [0045]; Figure 1).

While Mizuno et al. fails to specifically state that the serpentine grooves through which the reactants flow will adjust the flow rate of the reactants, one of ordinary skill in

the art would understand that the flow rate of the reactants flowing through the serpentine grooves would inherently be adjusted by having to flow in a serpentine path.

4. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. (US 2002/0012827), as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Iwase et al. (US 6,245,453).


The disclosure of Mizuno et al. as discussed above is fully incorporated herein.

With regard to claims 21-23, Mizuno et al. fails to teach the concept of the first and second fields each including a cooling medium entry port and a cooling medium exit port for the cooling medium, where the cooling medium exit port of the first field is connected to the cooling medium entry port of the second field, or teach a cooling medium adjustment device configured to adjust one of a flow rate and a condition of the cooling medium separately for the first and second fields.

Iwase et al. teaches the concept of a fuel cell (col. 7, lines 1-6) comprising a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) (51 & 52 & 53, col. 7, lines 7-9), a guide passage structure for distributing a cooling medium (col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16), and a flowfield plate having first and second fields (col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16), where the first and second fields each include a cooling medium entry port and a cooling medium exit port (col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16) for the cooling medium, where the cooling medium exit port of the first field is connected to the cooling medium entry port of the

second field (col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16), and teaches the use of cooling medium adjustment device (613 and/or 655 & 656, col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16) configured to adjust a flow rate of the cooling medium separately for the first and second fields (col. 16, lines 28-43; Figure 16).

The following illustration (modified Figure 16 of Iwase et al.) is provided for clarification:



It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the flowfield plate cooling medium flowfield structure of Mizuno et al. with the flowfield plate cooling medium flowfield structure of Iwase et al. in order to improve the performance of the fuel cell due to improved diffusibility and flow rate (col. 16, lines 28-43 & col. 15, lines 51-54).

5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. (US 2002/0012827), as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Kearl (US 2003/0022052).

The disclosure of Mizuno et al. as discussed above is fully incorporated herein.

With regard to claim 25, Mizuno et al. fails to teach a temperature sensor.

Kearl teaches the concept of a fuel cell bipolar plate (10, paragraphs [0027] & [0066]) comprising a temperature sensor (17, paragraphs [0059]-[0063]) in order to improve the reliability and efficiency of the fuel cell and allow the fuel cell to operate under stable conditions (paragraphs [0059] & [0010]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the concept of a fuel cell bipolar plate comprising a temperature sensor of Kearl to the bipolar plate(s) of Mizuno et al. in order to improve the reliability and efficiency of the fuel cell and allow the fuel cell to operate under stable conditions (paragraphs [0059] & [0010]).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CLAIRE L. RADEMAKER whose telephone number is (571)272-9809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 4:30PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. L. R./
Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795